Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/633,517	BEVAN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.	1743
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Yelena G. Gakh, Ph.D.</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Richard E. Fichter.	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>01/04/04</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
	·	·
	•	
Glene Hab		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/633,517

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Applicant agreed with the proposed Examiner's amendment of the Title and Abstract of the application to clarify its subject matter and for the amendment of claim 3 to recite the limitation of claim 1, which overcomes the rejection over the prior art. Independent claims are allowed. New dependent claims 16-24 are added to recite further limitations to the allowed claims 1 and 3.